This report is a compilation of the information contained in the reports of treaty bodies, special procedures, including observations and comments by the State concerned, and other relevant official United Nations documents. It does not contain any opinions, views or suggestions of the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). It follows the structure of the general guidelines adopted by the Human Rights Council. Information included therein has been systematically referenced in endnotes. The periodicity of the review for the first cycle being of four years, most documents are dated after 1 January 2004. In the absence of recent information, the latest available reports and documents have also been taken into consideration, unless outdated. Since this report only compiles official United Nations documents, lack of information or focus on specific issues may be due to non ratification of a treaty, and / or to a low level of interaction or cooperation with international human rights mechanisms.
I. BACKGROUND AND FRAMEWORK

A. Scope of international obligations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core universal human rights treaties</th>
<th>Date of ratification, accession or succession</th>
<th>Declarations /Reservations</th>
<th>Recognition of specific competences of Treaty bodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICERD(^2)</td>
<td>15/06/1967</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Individual complaints (art. 14): No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICESCR(^3)</td>
<td>07/06/1974</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCPR(^4)</td>
<td>23/10/1986</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Inter-state complaints (art. 41): Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCPR-OP 1(^5)</td>
<td>22/08/1989</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCPR-OP 2(^6)</td>
<td>20/11/2007</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEDAW(^7)</td>
<td>05/08/1981</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP CEDAW(^8)</td>
<td>12/11/2003</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Inter-state complaints (art. 76): No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT(^9)</td>
<td>18/06/1986</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Individual complaints (art. 21): No; No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inquiry procedure (art. 20): No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC(^10)</td>
<td>21/08/1990</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC OP-AC(^11)</td>
<td>26/08/2003</td>
<td>Yes(^{12})</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC OP-SC(^13)</td>
<td>28/05/2002</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRMW(^14)</td>
<td>05/07/1995</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Inter-state complaints (art. 76): No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Individual complaints (art. 77): No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other main relevant instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratification, accession or succession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palermo Protocol(^19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refugees and Stateless Persons(^20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva Conventions and their Protocols(^21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILO Fundamental Conventions No 29, 105, 87, 98, 100, 111, 138 and 182.(^22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO Convention against Discrimination in Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. In 2003, HR Committee recommended the State to accede to ICCPR-OP 2.\(^{23}\) On 20 November 2007, the Philippines ratified ICCPR-OP 2.\(^{24}\)

B. Constitutional and legislative framework

2. CRC, CEDAW and UNICEF took note in 2005, 2006 and 2007 respectively of the relatively advanced legal framework and welcomed many legislative initiatives, \textit{inter alia}, the \textit{Anti-Trafficking in Persons Act} of 2003; the \textit{Anti-Violence against Women and Their Children Act} of 2004; the \textit{Republic Act} of 2003 No. 9231, which amends the \textit{Special Protection of Children against Child Abuse, Exploitation and Discrimination Act}; the \textit{Republic Act} of 2004 No. 9255, amending the \textit{Family Code} of the Philippines; the \textit{Family Courts Act} of 1997; the \textit{Indigenous People's Rights Act} of 1997 and the \textit{Social Reform and Poverty Alleviation Act} of 1997.\(^{25}\) Despite these laws, UNICEF noted that children and women victims often face difficulties in seeking justice for discriminatory practices and crimes committed against them, in spite of ongoing efforts to make the legal and judicial system gender-sensitive and child-friendly.\(^{26}\) In this context, CRC recommended in 2005 that the State take all necessary measures to harmonise its legislation fully with the provisions and principles of the CRC.\(^{27}\)
3. CEDAW was concerned in 2006 that there is no definition in national legislation of discrimination in line with article 1 of CEDAW, encompassing both direct and indirect discrimination, in the national legislation. CEDAW was particularly concerned about existing discriminatory provisions in the *Code of Muslim Personal Laws*, which permit marriage of girls under 18, as well as polygamy and arranged marriages. CEDAW urged the State to strengthen the legal framework for the promotion of gender equality as well as to expedite the adoption of pending bills, and initiate all necessary revisions in order to promptly bring relevant national laws into line with the provisions of CEDAW. CERD noted with concern in 1997 that there is no specific legislation prohibiting racial discrimination. The Committee recommended that domestic legislation be amended so as to prohibit racial discrimination as defined in article 1 paragraph 1 of ICERD.

C. Institutional and human rights structure

4. The national human rights institution, the Commission on Human Rights of the Philippines (CHRP) was accredited with A status in 1999 by the International Coordinating Committee of NHRIs (ICC) and re-accredited in 2007 as part of a standard review procedure. CERD in 1997 and CRC in 2005 welcomed the establishment of the CHRP. However, while acknowledging the CHRP’s activities regarding the rights of the child, CRC was concerned at its limited mandate and resources. The Committee recommended that the State consider broadening the mandate of the CHRP regarding the monitoring of children’s rights and providing it with adequate resources in order to strengthen the investigation of individual complaints in a child sensitive manner.

D. Policy measures


6. With regard to the World Programme for Human Rights Education, the Philippines has developed the Human Rights Education Decade Plan (1998-2007). This Plan was developed through a series of consultative workshops at the national and local levels and aims at institutionalizing human rights education in all priority sectors of the society. It compiles specific plans for the formal, non-formal and community-based sectors.
I. PROMOTION AND PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS ON THE GROUND

A. Cooperation with human rights mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treaty Body</th>
<th>Latest report submitted and considered</th>
<th>Latest concluding observations</th>
<th>Follow-up Response</th>
<th>Reporting status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERD41</td>
<td>1997 August 1997</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15th to 19th periodic reports overdue from 1998 to 2006 respectively</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Committee43</td>
<td>2002 October 2003</td>
<td>4th periodic report overdue since 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT 44</td>
<td>1989 1989</td>
<td>2nd to 5th periodic reports overdue from 1992 to 2004 respectively</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEDAW45</td>
<td>2004 August 2006</td>
<td>7th and 8th periodic reports due in 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC 46</td>
<td>2003 June 2005</td>
<td>3rd and 4th periodic reports due in 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC OP AC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Initial report submitted in 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC OP CP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Initial report overdue since 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMW47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Initial report overdue since 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Cooperation with Treaty bodies

7. CERD will consider the situation of the Subanon people under its early warning and urgent action procedure at its 72nd session, to be held from 18 February to 7 March 2008. CERD strongly encouraged the Philippines to submit its fifteenth to nineteenth overdue periodic reports in a single document by 30 June 2008, with the view of considering them at its 73rd session, to be held from 28 July to 15 August 2008.48

2. Cooperation with Special procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standing invitation</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latest visits or mission reports</td>
<td>Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants (20 May-1 June 2002)49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Representative of the Secretary-general on internally displaced persons (6-14 November 2002)50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights and fundamental freedoms of indigenous peoples (2-11 December 2002)51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions (12 to 21 February 2007)52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits agreed upon in principle</td>
<td>Special Rapporteur on adequate housing (2003) and Special Rapporteur on independence of judges and lawyers (2 October 2006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitation/cooperation during missions</td>
<td>The Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions noted that the success of his visit owed much to the full cooperation shown to him by the Government.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses to letters of allegations and urgent appeals</td>
<td>Between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2007, a total of 3 communications were sent to the Government of the Philippines. In addition to communications sent for particular groups, 283 individuals, including 43 women, were covered by these communications. Between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2007, the Government replied to 51 communications, which represents replies to 56% of communications sent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Cooperation with the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights

8. OHCHR’s engagement with the Philippines involves working in partnerships with the Government and a wide range of national partners, including different State actors, the national human rights institution, civil society, and the United Nations country team. At a national level, OHCHR is implementing a project to engage different national actors in the reporting process and follow-up to treaty bodies recommendations, including through training workshops, one of which was hosted in the Philippines in 2007. At a regional level, the Philippines has hosted several workshops / trainings, including a Regional Workshop on the Establishment of National Human Rights Institutions in Asia held in 2007 and a training on techniques for human rights investigations for national human rights institutions held in 2005. The High Commissioner’s Strategic Management Plan for 2008-2009 foresees the deployment of a Human Rights Adviser, under the Action 2 Global Programme. During the 2004-2008 period, the Philippines has regularly contributed financially to OHCHR.

B. Implementation of international human rights obligations

1. Equality and non discrimination

9. In 2003, the HR Committee took note of the constitutional provisions guaranteeing equal treatment of all persons before the law and urged the State to take the necessary steps to adopt legislation explicitly prohibiting discrimination. It also urged the State to strengthen human rights education to forestall manifestations of intolerance and de facto discrimination.

10. While noting the provisions of the Indigenous Peoples’ Rights Act (IPRA) as well as programmes and projects for children belonging to minorities and indigenous peoples, CRC was concerned about the discrimination against minorities, indigenous peoples and Muslims. It recommended in 2005 that the State ensure that indigenous children and children belonging to minorities fully enjoy all of their human rights equally and without discrimination; that it strengthen its efforts to implement the IPRA and develop and implement policies and programmes to ensure equal access for indigenous and minority children to culturally appropriate services, including social and health services and education; and that it seek effective measures to abolish traditional practices prejudicial inter alia to their well-being.

2. Right to life, liberty and security of the person

11. The HR Committee noted in 2003 that the death penalty was mandatory for a number of crimes and extended to an excessive number of offences which did not fit the definition of the “most serious” crimes within the meaning of article 6 paragraph 2 of ICCPR. It urged the State to take measures to repeal all laws which had made it possible to impose the death penalty and also ensure compliance with article 6 paragraph 5 of ICCPR prohibiting the imposition of the death sentence for crimes committed by persons below eighteen years of age.
CRC and the HR Committee expressed their concern about violations of the right to life of children, *inter alia*, due to the internal armed conflict. They urged, in 2005 and 2003 respectively, that the State make every effort to reinforce the protection of the right to life, survival and development of all children, by taking effective measures to prevent extrajudicial killings of children and to investigate thoroughly all alleged cases of killing and to bring perpetrators to justice.\(^{64}\)

The Special Procedures mandate holders raised the issue of extrajudicial executions of leftist activists,\(^{65}\) human rights defenders,\(^{66}\) leaders and members of indigenous organizations\(^ {67}\) as well as journalists and reporters.\(^ {68}\) For example, on 28 March 2007, the Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions condemned the killing on 10 March 2007 of a human rights activist who had been an interlocutor of the Special Rapporteur during his visit to the Philippines in February 2007.\(^ {69}\) Special Procedures mandate holders also raised the issue of extrajudicial killings in the context of the agrarian reform efforts, leading to disputes between peasants and landowners as well as armed groups.\(^ {70}\)

In recent years, the HR Committee, CRC and a number of Special Procedures mandate holders have been concerned about reports of the persistent and widespread use of torture, “incommunicado detention” and solitary confinement of detainees by law enforcement officials. They raised concerns about the lack of legislation specifically prohibiting torture.\(^ {71}\) In 2003, the HR Committee recommended that free access to a legal counsel and a doctor should be guaranteed in practice, immediately after arrest and during all stages of detention.\(^ {72}\) CRC was concerned particularly for children in detention. It reiterated in 2005 its previous recommendation to prohibit and criminalize torture by law and stated that existing legislation did not provide children with an adequate level of protection against torture and ill-treatment. It requested that the State should ensure that child victims be provided with appropriate services for care, recovery and reintegration.\(^ {73}\)

While welcoming the establishment of family courts in major cities to foster an active approach to protecting the rights of women and children against domestic violence, including incest, CEDAW remained concerned about the prevalence of violence against women. It noted with appreciation the *Anti-Rape Law* of 1997, which redefined and expanded rape from a crime against chastity to a crime against the person and implicitly recognized marital rape. However, CEDAW was concerned that the subsequent possibility for the wife, as the offended party, to forgive the crime extinguishes the criminal dimension of the action and the consequent severity of the penalty.\(^ {74}\)

In 2005, CRC was alarmed at the high level of crime; persistent violations of the rights of children in conflict with the law; the overall deficiencies in the administration of the Philippine juvenile justice system; the high number of persons below 18 in detention; and alleged cases of torture, abuse (including sexual abuse) and other forms of degrading treatment to which they were subjected.\(^ {75}\)

In 2006, CEDAW expressed its concern about the precarious situation of rural and indigenous women, as well as of Muslim women in the autonomous region of Mindanao. CEDAW noted in particular the lack of access to adequate health services, education, clean water and sanitation services and credit facilities. It was also concerned about women’s limited access to justice in cases of violence, especially in the conflict zones, and the lack of sanctions against perpetrators. CEDAW called upon the State to pay special attention to the needs of rural women, indigenous women and Muslim women living in the autonomous region of Mindanao, and to ensure that they have access to health care, social security,
education, clean water and sanitation services, fertile land, income-generation opportunities and participation in decision-making processes. CEDAW also recommended that the State ensure these women’s access to justice through the provision of legal aid, and that steps be taken to prosecute perpetrators of violence.76

18. The HR Committee, CEDAW and CRC noted with concern numerous instances of trafficking of women and children, both within the country and across its borders. In 2003, the HR Committee encouraged the State to ensure gender-specific training to sensitize the officials dealing with victims of trafficking.77 CEDAW recommended in 2006 that the State further strengthen bilateral, regional and international cooperation with countries of origin, transit and destination so as to address the issue of trafficking in women more effectively.78 CRC welcomed in 2005 the measures taken to prevent trafficking and protect victims, such as the establishment of Anti-Illegal Recruitment Coordination Councils, the Trade Union Child Labour Advocate initiative and the establishment of an Executive Council to suppress trafficking in persons particularly of women and children. CRC expressed its concern about factors contributing to trafficking activities, such as persistent poverty, temporary overseas migration, growing sex tourism and weak law enforcement. CRC urged the State to review its domestic laws on the protection of children against sexual exploitation, and provide adequate programmes of assistance and reintegration for sexually exploited and/or trafficked children.79

19. In 2005, CRC reiterated its concern at the high number of children living in the streets, highlighting their particular vulnerability to various forms of violence and abuse, including sexual abuse and exploitation, economic exploitation and substance abuse.80

20. The 2005 and 2006 reports of the Secretary-General to the Security Council on children and armed conflict listed the Philippines as one of the 11 countries of concern in which grave violations against children have been documented.81 In response to the protection needs of children affected by armed conflict, the Philippines reportedly continued to implement a presidential order establishing a comprehensive programme for children involved in armed conflict, with specific protection mandates for affected children.82

3. Administration of justice and the rule of law

21. The HR Committee was concerned about the lack of appropriate measures to investigate crimes allegedly committed by State security forces and agents, in particular those committed against leftist activists, human rights defenders, journalists and leaders of indigenous peoples, and the lack of measures taken to prosecute and punish the perpetrators. Furthermore, it was concerned at reports of intimidation and threats of retaliation impeding the right to an effective remedy for persons whose rights and freedoms had been violated. The Committee recommended in 2003 that the State adopt legislative and other measures to prevent such violations and ensure effective enforcement of the legislation.83

22. The issue of impunity was also raised by a number of Special Procedures mandate holders. For example, the Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions noted that no one had been convicted in the cases involving leftist activists, and only six cases involving the death of journalists have resulted in convictions.84 In 2007, the Special Representative on the situation of human rights defenders noted that in most cases of communications relating to alleged killings sent to the State, preliminary measures of investigation had been taken but no perpetrators of violations had yet been brought to justice.85
4. Freedoms of expression, association and peaceful assembly, and right to participate in public and political life

23. The 1987 Constitution guarantees the right to freedom of speech, of the press and freedom of assembly and association. However, freedom of speech is subjected to criminal laws punishing libel and slander, and the Public Assembly Act of 1995 requires a mayor’s permit prior to the holding of a public assembly as long as the assembly is not held in “freedom parks”, inside a private property, or on campuses of state universities. In 2006, the Philippines informed that the sole justification for a limitation to the rights of free speech and assembly would be the danger of a “serious evil to public safety, public morals, public health, or any other legitimate public interest”.

24. During recent years, the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression as well as the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on the situation of human rights defenders noted with concern the insecurity surrounding journalists, reporters and those attempting to protect human rights in the Philippines, and sent communications relating to alleged arrests and charges pressed against, for example, journalists for rebellion or libel, as well as alleged raids of the editorial office and printing press of a newspaper or of a radio station. The Philippines has denied most of these allegations.

5. Right to social security and to an adequate standard of living

25. CEDAW expressed its concern about the inadequate recognition and protection of the reproductive health and rights of women in the Philippines. It was concerned at the high maternal mortality rates, particularly the number of deaths resulting from induced abortions, high fertility rates, inadequate family planning services, the low rate of contraceptive use and the difficulties of obtaining contraceptives. In 2006, CEDAW urged the State to take concrete measures to enhance women’s access to health care, in particular to sexual and reproductive health services.

26. CRC was concerned, despite the legislative and other measures taken by the State, about environmental problems, such as air and water pollution and environmental degradation which have serious consequences for children’s health and development. As regards access to safe drinking water and sanitation, the Committee was concerned about regional disparities. Also concerning water pollution, communications were sent to the State by the Special Rapporteur on the right to food and the Special Rapporteur on the effects of the illicit movement and dumping of toxic and dangerous products and wastes on the enjoyment of human rights, for example about the operations by a private mining company, which allegedly contaminated sea water with cyanide, and about activities of a multinational mining company, which allegedly released a flood of toxic silt in a river. In the first case, the Philippines informed that it had undertaken investigations, temporarily suspended the activities of the company and set up a fact finding commission.

27. As regards the right to adequate housing, communications were sent to the State during the last years by the Special Rapporteur on promoting the realization of the right to adequate housing, concerning alleged forced evictions, large-scale house demolitions and relocations in connection with the development of a railway line, and by the Special Rapporteurs on adequate housing and on the human rights of indigenous people regarding the situation of 115 Manobo families who were reportedly forcibly evicted from their homes. In the first case, the Special Rapporteur on the right to adequate housing noted that all his
concerns had not been addressed in the Philippines response, and recommended continued
dialogue between the State and the families affected for the resolution of the situation.\textsuperscript{93} In the
second case of Manobo families, the Philippines replied that the eviction was carried out in
accordance with the law.\textsuperscript{94}

6. Minorities and indigenous peoples

28. In 2003, the HR Committee and the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human
rights and fundamental freedoms of indigenous people noted important steps made with the
adoption of the \textit{IPRA} in 1997 and the subsequent establishment of the National Commission
on Indigenous Peoples, but remained concerned about the lack of effective and slow pace
implementation of the legislation. Both bodies recommended that positive measures should be
expanded to include land rights issues.\textsuperscript{95}

29. In 1997, CERD expressed its concern (in connection with article 5 (d) (v) of ICERD)
at reports of forced evictions and displacement of indigenous peoples in development zones,
as well as reports that specific groups of indigenous peoples had been denied by force the
right to return to some of their ancestral lands.\textsuperscript{96} In 2007, CERD sent an early warning and
urgent action procedure in which it welcomed the adoption in 1997 of the \textit{IPRA} which \textit{inter
alia} required the free, prior and informed consent of indigenous communities for any
development projects on their ancestral lands. However, CERD noted with concern that the
\textit{Act} had not been implemented to date and that the 1998 \textit{Implementing Rules and Regulations},
revised in 2002 and 2006, had allegedly reduced the rights granted to indigenous peoples by the
\textit{IPRA}.\textsuperscript{97}

30. The Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights and fundamental freedoms of
indigenous peoples sent to the State a number of communications together with the Special
Representative of the Secretary-General on the situation of human rights defenders regarding
the case of members, leaders and defenders of indigenous peoples, who were subject to
attacks, threats and intimidation to prevent them from carrying out their activities.\textsuperscript{98} In
addition, the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of indigenous peoples noted in 2007 that
the main areas of concern pointed out in the report of his 2003 visit to the Philippines
remained unaddressed: for example, the National Commission on Indigenous Peoples
continues to be underfunded, and the rate at which Certificate Ancestral Domain Titles
(CADT) are granted is still very limited.\textsuperscript{99}

31. In 2004, the Working Group on Minorities received reports concerning the plight of
the Sama Dilaut.\textsuperscript{100} It also received concerns expressed about plantation businesses setting up
in the traditional lands of the Higaonon people of Mindanao, known as Lumads, and that
poverty, lack of secure land tenure and lack of effective implementation of the \textit{IPRA} were
causing deprivation.\textsuperscript{101} In 2005, the situation of the Bangsamoro community in the Philippines
and of the importance they attached to protecting their ancestral domains was reported to the
Working Group on Minorities.\textsuperscript{102}

7. Migrants

32. In 2004, the Philippines responded to a request for information sent by the Special
Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants, regarding measures adopted in relation to
recommendations following the Special Rapporteur’s visit in May 2002.\textsuperscript{103} The Philippines
informed the Special Rapporteur about actions taken to further strengthen the protection of
overseas workers, which included for example the deployment of social and medical
specialists, a more efficient pre-departure orientation seminar, the establishment of a loan guarantee fund, enhanced education and training for overseas workers and their dependents and adoption of measures to improve the gathering and exchange of relevant information.104

33. While commending the conclusion of bilateral agreements and the memoranda of understanding on migrant workers’ rights with some countries and regions, and the programme of pre-departure and support services for overseas Filipino workers, CEDAW remained concerned, as highlighted also by UNHCR,105 at the continued feminization of migration. CEDAW urged the State to continue reaching bilateral agreements and memoranda of understanding with countries and regions to which Filipino women migrate. It also encouraged the State to address the root causes of women’s migration, including through the creation of conditions necessary for sustainable development and of safe and protected jobs for women as a viable economic alternative to migration or unemployment.106 In 2002, the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants noted that given the magnitude of the phenomenon in the country, there was a need to address seriously the social costs and effects of migration, including issues such as the adverse effects of migration on children as well as tackling the reintegration of overseas Filipino workers.107

8. Internally displaced persons

34. The HR Committee was concerned at continuing reports of displacement of persons and evacuation of populations, including indigenous groups, in areas of counter-insurgency operations. The Committee recommended in 2003 that the State take urgent measures to ensure the protection of civilians in areas affected by military operations, in accordance with its human rights obligations.108 In this regard, the Representative of the Secretary-General on internally displaced persons, as also highlighted by UNHCR,109 addressed the need for implementation of concrete strategies and programmes supporting durable solutions, whether voluntary return, local integration or resettlement in safety and dignity, as well as access to income-generating and development programmes for the internally displaced population in Mindanao.110

9. Human rights and counter-terrorism

35. In 2007, the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights while countering terrorism raised concerns regarding the “Act to Secure the State and Protect our People from Terrorism”, so-called “Human Security Act of 2007”, namely the definition of ‘terrorist crimes’, which is overly broad and therefore at variance with the principle of legality; the strict application of a penalty of 40 years’ imprisonment, which may undermine judicial discretion in individual cases and may result in a disproportionate punishment due to the broad definition of terrorist acts; the competence of various bodies authorized to review detention of an individual since some of these are members of the executive rather than independent judicial bodies; and the restrictions on movement, including the imposition of house arrest where the legal basis is simply ‘in cases where evidence of guilt is not strong’ rather than positive suspicion or a higher evidentiary threshold.111

III. ACHIEVEMENTS, BEST PRACTICES, CHALLENGES AND CONSTRAINTS

36. The Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions noted in 2007 that human rights abuses are taking place in a context of multiple armed conflicts that have been persisting for decades.112
37. The Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants acknowledged the efforts undertaken at the national level to tackle the problem of trafficking and smuggling of persons. The Special Rapporteur was also impressed by the wealth of civil society organizations working for the promotion and protection of the human rights of migrants and by the good communications between the Government and civil society on this issue.  

38. The Representative of the Secretary-General on internally displaced persons found that there was considerable awareness among the Philippines’ authorities of the situation of internal displacement and that a number of steps had been taken to address the problem, in particular at the policy level. The challenge was one of ensuring the implementation of these policies on the ground.

39. CRC acknowledged that the natural disasters caused by tropical storms and several typhoons at the end of 2004 devastated the infrastructure of several provinces giving rise to a growing number of economic and social difficulties. Domestic instability caused by, *inter alia*, political uncertainties, adversely impacted overall human rights development in the State.

IV. KEY NATIONAL PRIORITIES, INITIATIVES AND COMMITMENTS

A. Pledges by the State

40. In its Voluntary Pledges in support of its candidacy to membership of the Human Rights Council, submitted on 19 April 2006 and 18 April 2007, the Philippines presented its objective to, *inter alia*, continue to play an active role in upholding the rights and welfare of disadvantaged and vulnerable groups; continue to promote constructive engagement of the Council with civil society; and continue to contribute to the Special Procedures and Treaty Bodies. In its declaration on 22 June 2006 during the first session of the Human Rights Council, the Philippines informed of its intent to adhere to the OP-CAT.

B. Specific recommendations for follow-up

41. The Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions recommended the elimination of extrajudicial executions from counter-insurgency operations, the investigation and prosecution of those committing extrajudicial executions and other serious crimes, as well as the reform and implementation of the witness protection programme.

V. CAPACITY BUILDING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

42. As endorsed by the State, and based on the analysis of the Common Country Assessment, the 2005-2009 United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF) for the Philippines, using a human rights-based and gender-responsive approach, has identified key strategic areas to which the United Nations in the Philippines believes it can contribute: macroeconomic stability; broad-based equitable development; basic social services; good governance; environmental sustainability; and conflict prevention and peace-building.
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